

*The following is an excerpt from “**The Weaponization of Loneliness: How Tyrants Stoke Our Terror of Isolation to Silence, Divide, and Conquer.**” (Bombardier Books, Post Hill Press.)*

Link to [Article source](#). Pictured below is a mural of current and former female justices of the US Supreme Court



Feminists have long urged women to promote the politically correct viewpoint that they are oppressed victims. Champions of second-wave feminism — such as Betty Friedan and Gloria Steinem — emphasized valid grievances of women, however, the media-tech complex has gone well beyond promoting any constructive awareness of these concerns.

What is a woman anyway? When Supreme Court nominee Ketanji Brown Jackson was asked to define the word “woman,” she refused to do so and even added: “I’m not a biologist.” Current gender ideology seems to deny that males and females exist in biology or reality.

And, since any man can claim to be a woman simply by saying so, we end up having to ask the central question posed by British novelist Dorothy Sayers in her 1938 lecture: “Are Women Human?” It is the spark of individuality, along with the biological reality of humanity as a dimorphic species, that makes us human. And we’re losing both in the morass of identity politics enforced by political correctness.

This review of women in the clutches of identity politics seems to point to two broad outcomes. First, social pressures from all corners — schools, media, popular culture — appear to be taking their toll on young women in particular. Hence, trying to navigate political correctness has been **so exhausting** that it added to the mental health crisis of women, especially during the Covid-19 era.

This should come as no surprise assuming they have internalized the guilt foisted on them for racism, for poverty, for environmental disaster, to properly “mask up,” and more. **An Evie Magazine** article noted that progressivism is an ideology “that keeps score to an exhausting degree. ... [I]t’s understandable that anxiety and depression thrive in these kinds of environments.”

Second, all this slicing and dicing leads to an isolation — and exhaustion — that probably causes many struggling women to hope just to be taken care of. With so many relationships broken and real conversations off-limits, what is left but the new patriarchy, the Daddy State?

In 2012, the reelection campaign of President Obama hoped to lure young women voters with just that message by presenting an infographic called “[The Life of Julia](#).” It offered up a utopian story about an atomized woman and showed how the government would take care of her and her child from the cradle to the grave. In 2021, the Biden administration released a similar infographic called “[The Life of Linda](#),” another isolated Stepford wife to the state.

Both infographics provide a perfect illustration of sociologist Robert [Nisbet's point](#) that “the State grows on what it gives to the individual as it does on what it takes from competing social relationships.” The government’s showcasing of Julia and Linda represent the push for a new social order that replaces our intimate relationships with a mass relationship with the state. The biggest losers of all are children and their childhoods. But the propaganda is meant also to destroy motherhood, fatherhood, and the whole family.

That leads us to wonder about the role of men in all this. I would say it all depends on the strength of women to reject the dystopia being foisted on us by a totalitarian force. We should reject the narrative that men are the bad guys and women are always the victims. In general, men take a lot of their cues from women. I would guess that elitist men take their cues from men who are higher in the pecking order.

But the de-masculinization of men and the bullying of women and girls by men who inject themselves into female sports by claiming a female identity are of a piece. Obedience to political correctness — out of fear of being socially rejected — drives much of it.

This psychological chaos is brought on by utopian power elites of both sexes. Divisiveness between the sexes is key to family and relationship breakdown across society.

That division proved critical to the breakdown of the black family in America and, increasingly, to the American family across the board.

Comment: And this division is the goal of communism, the eraser of God and family including the atomization of society, the replacement of which is the supremacy of the state: through all forms, in every possible way, including oppressors vs. victims, old vs. young, white vs. all others, straight vs. all others, poor vs. others, Christian vs. all others, liberal vs. conservative, traditional family vs. all others, Make America Great supporters vs. those who do not believe in that, etc.

Liberals in America and elsewhere, some of whom are still not aware that this push toward divisiveness plays a central role in this ultimate goal of tyrannical government supremacy, are what Lenin called, “useful idiots.”